

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,357	10/24/2000	Kumar Balachandran	8194-393	2727
20792	7590 05/15/2006		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			KUMAR, PANKAJ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/695,357	BALACHANDRAN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	·	Pankaj Kumar	2611
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHI0 - Exte after - If N0 - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/3/2 This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11,12,14-19,21,22,24,25,27-3 Claim(s) 48 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. 33,35,36,38,39,41-46,49 and 50 is or election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-3,5-9,11,12,14-19,21,22,24,25,27-33,35,36,38,39,41-46 and 48-50.

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/3/2006 are partially persuasive and partially not persuasive.
- 2. Applicant argues on page 17 that "With reference to FIG. 3, Eswara explains that the four beams A, B, C, and D shown in FIG. 4 "would have an assigned set of traffic frequencies for use by MSs and a control frequency for assigning traffic frequencies." (Eswara, col. 3, lines 45 48)." And then argues that "applicants can find no disclosure or suggestion in Eswara indicating that one or more frequencies used in one of the beams A, B, C, or D may be within the bandwidth defined by frequencies used in another one of the beams A, B, C, or D." This is not persuasive since applicant has acknowledged that "Eswara explains that the four beams A, B, C, and D shown in FIG. 4 "would have an assigned set of traffic frequencies for use by MSs and <u>a</u> <u>control frequency</u> for assigning traffic frequencies."" (emphasis added). Accordingly, at least "the four beams would have ... a control frequency" means that the four beams have a control frequency. Since it is a control frequency and not control frequencies, there can only be one control frequency for the beams A, B, C, and D and thus it is inherent that it is the same control frequency as there is only one control frequency and thus inherently it is in the same bandwidth as there is only one control frequency.
- 3. Applicant's argument with respect to claim 50 is persuasive.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

Response to Amendment

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eswara in view of Almgren. See a prior action for details.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-9,11,12,14-19,21,22,24,25,27-33,35,36,38,39,41-46,49 and 50 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The art of record does not suggest the respective claim combinations together and nor would the respective claim combinations be obvious with:
- 8. As per claims 1-3, 5-8: wherein each of the plurality of cells has predefined control time slots associated therewith that are used to communicate the control information and has predefined traffic time slots associated therewith that are used to communicate the traffic information and at least one defined idle time slot separates at least one of the predefined control time slots from at least one of the predefined traffic time slots, which are associated with different primary frequencies.
- 9. As per claims 9, 11, 12, 14-18: wherein the base station subsystem is configured to transmit a hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency, and wherein the

Art Unit: 2611

control information is exchanged during predefined control time slots and the traffic information is exchanged during predefined traffic time slots and at least one defined idle time slot separates at least one of the predefined control time slots from at least one of the predefined traffic time slots, which are associated with different frequencies.

Page 4

- 10. As per claims 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 28, 29, 31, 32: wherein the control information is exchanged during predefined control time slots and the traffic information is exchanged during predefined traffic time slots and at least one defined idle time slot separates at least one of the predefined control time slots from at least one of the predefined traffic time slots, which are associated with different frequencies.
- 11. As per claim 33, 35, 36, 38, 39, 42, 43, 45, 46: wherein the control information is exchanged during predefined control time slots and the traffic information is exchanged during predefined traffic time slots and at least one defined idle time slot separates at least one of the predefined control time slots from at least one of the predefined traffic time slots, which are associated with different frequencies.
- 12. Claims 27, 30, 41, 44, 49 are discussed in a prior action.
- 13. As per claim 50: each of the plurality of traffic frequencies being associated with an equivalence class of frequencies; randomly selecting a frequency from each of the plurality of equivalence classes of frequencies.

Conclusion

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2611

15. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (571) 272-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs and Fri after 8AM to after 6:30PM.

- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pankaj Kumar Patent Examiner Art Unit 2611